

**MINUTES OF A MEETING
OF THE PLANING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF POMPEY**

April 15, 2024

6:30 PM

Pompey Town Hall

Board Members Present: Sarah LoGiudice, Board Secretary
Sue Smith, Chairperson
Kevin Coursen
Dan Bargabos
Deb Cook
Will Frazee
Carl Fahrenkrug

Six members of the Planning Board were present. Board John Shaheen was absent. Also present were Attorney Amelia McLean-Robertson, Town Engineer John Dunkle, Codes Enforcement Officer Tim Bearup and Town Supervisor Mark Nardella. The meeting was live streamed via Zoom.

D. Bargabos made a motion to accept the minutes from last month's meeting at 6:32 pm. Chairperson Smith seconded the motion. All were in favor, none were opposed. The motion passed at 6:33 pm.

Curtis Subdivision

Public Hearing on an application for subdivision of land located at 3161 Bush Road Jamesville, NY (Tax Map No. 018.-02-08.1)

Present was the applicant, Nicholas Curtis. He spoke with the surveyor about the Lot 1 that showed on the maps. Lot 2 is being subdivided into two pieces. The Lot 1 showing on the maps was previously subdivided, and does not apply to this subdivision. A. McLean-Robertson reviewed the map, and felt that it would make it clearer if the owner of Lot 1 was named on the map, as Mr. Curtis does not own it. Lot 1 could be renamed to Lot 3 for clarification purposes. Mr. Curtis did ask his surveyor to remove Lot 1. The Tax Map ID could also be added to the map for clarification purposes.

D. Bargabos asked A. McLean-Robertson if the lots had already been subdivided several times, how many years can go by for it come back and one of those pieces be resubdivided again and it be considered a major subdivision. The Board would have no way of knowing how many times a lot had been subdivided.

SEQR:

1. **Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?**
No, or small impact
2. **Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?**
No, or small impact
3. **Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?**
No, or small impact
4. **Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?**
No, or small impact
5. **Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?**
No, or small impact
6. **Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?**
No, or small impact
7. **Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?**
No, or small impact
8. **Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?**
No, or small impact
9. **Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?**
No, or small impact
10. **Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problem?**
No, or small impact. J. Dunkle confirmed that any issues with drainage could be mitigated.
11. **Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?**
No, or small impact

Chairperson Smith made a motion for a negative SEQR declaration at 6:43 pm. C. Fahrenkrug seconded the motion. All were in favor, none were opposed. The motion passed at 6:43 pm.

Public Hearing

The matter had been properly advertised. Mr. Curtis did not have the verification of mailing with him, but the Board Secretary heard from one of the neighbors that they did receive a letter.

Chairperson Smith made a motion to open the Public Hearing at 6:43 pm. K. Coursen seconded the motion at 6:43 pm. All were in favor, none were opposed.

No members of the public were present to speak. Chairperson Smith made a motion to close the Public Hearing at 6:44 pm. D. Cook seconded the motion. All were in favor, none were opposed. The Public Hearing was closed at 6:44 pm.

Based on the contingency of getting the original Lot 1 clarified and the note that Lot 1 is not a buildable lot until septic and driveway approvals have been received, Chairperson Smith made a motion to approve the subdivision based on a map dated 1/2/24 by Forrest Seguin at 6:46 pm. K. Coursen seconded the motion at 6:46 pm. All were in favor, none were opposed. The motion carried at 6:46 pm.

Mr. Curtis will need to forward the verifications of mailing as well and bring the updated maps for signature.

Lockheed Martin Site Plan Review

Preliminary Review of a Site Plan submitted by Lockheed Martin for the rebuild of A dome building used for equipment testing in the same location as a previous Dome located at 3254 Windy Hill Lane in the Town of Pompey (Tax Map No. 015.-02-22.0)

K. Coursen wondered why the application had to come before the Planning Board. A. McLean-Robertson confirmed that per Town Code, the matter needed to be presented for site plan review.

Present for the applicant was Steve Mearon from C&S Engineers. Also present was Jeffrey Eisel from Lockheed Martin. They wished to present a plan for a new pad built to be on the existing pad.

K. Coursen confirmed that they have been using this platform with portable radars etc. Mr. Eisel confirmed this. They will be performing the same work, but just adding a dome over the top. They will also be updating the electric services. There will also be a new communication building and a new transformer will be on site as well. There is no new lighting proposed.

The building on the map noted “future” has actually already been built; the construction on that project is completed.

D. Bargabos asked how high the antenna is. It is approximately 45 to 50 feet high. It is actually a lightning rod.

C. Fahrenkrug asked if the pad for the transformer and antenna is new. Yes, it will be. The transformer will be larger than what is there now.

K. Coursen asked if they have a generator on site for when the power goes out. There is no generator there. They do not always bring them on site for testing in order to avoid the noise that they create.

Card access reader controls the gate. If someone does not have a badge, then they can call with a phone. There is a 24/7 security presence at the site.

J. Dunkle asked how much additional impervious service would be added because of the project. There is nothing additional outside of the existing footprint; it will be far under one acre of disturbance. They are raising the pad two feet and as a result need to add additional grading. There are going to keep as many trees as they can, as this is a densely wooded area, and they will be adding soil. J. Dunkle confirmed that the fencing would need to be parallel to the contours. He felt that there would be minor impact to the surrounding area.

K. Coursen noted that currently there is a chain link fence that surrounds the entire facility.

There will be no increased activity, and all activity will now be conducted inside of the dome. They do not anticipate starting the project until after the 4th of July.

Chairperson Smith asked T. Bearup to do some background research, because last time Lockheed Martin was before the Board, several questions came in regarding the road. When you pull on to the road, the Town maintains up to the curve. After the curve is the responsibility of Lockheed Martin, and they have performed some maintenance on the driveway. The Town plows the entire road.

A. A. McLean-Robertson confirmed that this will be a Type 2 action.

The Board will schedule a Public Hearing for next month. The Board Secretary will provide Lockheed Martin with a list of neighbors for notification purposes.

Chairperson Smith made a motion to close the meeting at 7:05 pm. K. Coursen seconded the motion. All were in favor, none were opposed. The meeting was closed at 7:05 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sarah LoGiudice
Secretary to the Planning Board

DRAFT